

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
	LIST OF FIGURES	iv
	LIST OF TABLES	vi
	ABSTRACT	viii
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	MATERIALS AND METHODS	12
2.1	Experimental system	12
2.2	Diets	14
2.3	Husbandry aspects	20
2.4	Digestibility	22
2.5	Body composition	23
2.6	Method of Analyses	23
2.7	Statistical Analyses	25
3.0	RESULTS	26
3.1	General Observations	26
3.2	Mortality	27
3.3	Physical parameters	27
3.4	Diets	27

3.5	Food Consumption	27
3.6	Growth	38
3.7	Feed evaluation	47
3.8	Protein utilization	48
3.9	Digestibility	52
3.10	Ingredient digestibility	58
3.11	Body composition	59
3.12	Economic evaluation	64
<b>4.0</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>67</b>
4.1	Food consumption	67
4.2	Feeding frequency	69
4.3	Growth	72
4.4	Feed utilization	74
4.5	Protein utilization	76
4.6	Digestibility	78
4.7	Carcass composition	81
4.8	Economic evaluation	82
<b>5.0</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>6.0</b>	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>86</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	Page
1.	Schematic diagram indicating the experimental layout design.	15
2.	Changes of daily food consumption over weekly intervals in experiments 1-4.	29
3.	Changes of daily food consumption over weekly intervals in fish maintained on C1 and P1 diets.	30
4.	Changes in daily food consumption of fish, fed <u>V. catiang</u> mixed diets, in experiment 5.	32
5.	Changes in daily food consumption expressed as % body weight in small size fish, fed <u>P. mungo</u> mixed diets in experiment 5.	33
6.	Daily fluctuations of food consumption in large size fish, fed C2, V3, V4 and P2 diets.	34
7.	Scatter plot to show the relationship of % food consumption to body weight in <u>O. niloticus</u> maintained on 25% protein diets.	35
8.	Relationship of absolute daily food consumption to body weight in fish maintained on <u>V. catiang</u> mixed diets.	36
9.	Relationship of absolute daily food consumption to body weight in fish maintained on <u>P. mungo</u> mixed diets	37

10.	Increase in average weight of fish in experiment 1 to 4.	39
11.	Increase in average weight of fish in experiment 5 and 6.	40
12.	Changes of %ADG in relation to plant protein substitution to the total protein content level of the diets.	45
13.	Changes of SGR, %ADG, FCR PER and NPU in 3 protein levels of reference diets.	46
14.	Changes of FCR and PER in 20%protein diets.	49
15.	Body composition of <u>O. niloticus</u> maintained on <u>V. catianq</u> diets.	62
16.	Body composition of <u>O. niloticus</u> maintained on <u>P. mungo</u> diets.	63

## LIST OF TABLES

No.	TITLE	Page
1.	Nutrient composition of the selected legume seeds, <u>Vigna catiang</u> and <u>Phaseolus mungo</u> .	8
2.	Details of experimental conditions and the diets tested.	16
3.	Formulae and proximate composition of the reference diets.	18
4.	Formulae and proximate composition of the test diets.	19
5.	Percentage mortality of the fish in different treatments.	28
6.	Growth performance of <u>O. niloticus</u> of 2 size groups fed different diets at 2 feeding frequencies.	42
7.	Growth performance of <u>O. niloticus</u> fingerlings ( $2.6044 \pm 0.52$ g) fed on reference and test diets.	43
8.	Growth performance of <u>O. niloticus</u> fingerlings ( $4.3889 \pm 0.32$ g) fed four test diets.	44
9.	Dry matter, protein and lipid digestibility of fish fed four types of diets at two different frequencies (digestibility was calculated using chromic oxide as the marker).	53
10.	Dry matter, protein and lipid digestibility of <u>O. niloticus</u> fed different diets.	54



11.	Dry matter, protein and lipid digestibility of <u>O. niloticus</u> fed four types of diets at two frequencies, (Crude fibre used as the marker in the diets).	56
12.	Dry matter, protein and lipid digestibility of the same diets as in Table 10 based on crude fibre as the marker.	57
13a.	Proximate composition of the mixed diet and ingredient digestibility of the diets VO and PO	58
b.	Dry matter, protein and lipid digestibility of the ingredients.	58
14.	Body composition of fish fed 4 diets at two frequencies.	60
15.	Body composition of fish fed different diets in experiment 5.	61
16.	Economical comparison of the profitability of the diets.	65