ASSESSMENT OF GENETIC DIVERSITY OF SELECTED Capsicum chinense AND C. frutescens ACCESSIONS DERIVED THROUGH MORPHOLOGICAL AND MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION

BMK Senarathne Menike^{1*}, WAR Dhammika¹, DMJB Senanayake¹, WMW Weerakoon¹, AM Perera¹, SK Wasala², MGRUA Gamage¹ and HMS Bandara¹

¹Field Crops Research and Development Institute, Mahailluppallama

²Plant Genetic Resources Centre, Gannoruwa, Department of Agriculture, Sri Lanka

ABSTRACT

Capsicum chinense and *C. frutescens* are common cultivated and consumed chilli species in some parts of Sri Lanka. Thirteen *Capsicum* accessions were characterized by morphological and molecular means to assess genetic diversity in plants by randomized complete block design with two replicates during *yala* 2016 and *maha* 2016/17 at the Field Crops Research and Development Institute, Mahailluppallama. Twelve morphological characters were analysed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and multivariate methods. ANOVA revealed significant differences among genotypes for most of the tested traits. Principal component (PC) analysis explained more than 71% of total variability for the first 3 components among the traits of genotypes evaluated. Plant height, width, days to 50% flowering, pods per plant and yield were positively correlated with PC1. Dendrogram based on morphological and SSRs analyses showed two and three clusters respectively at 0.1 similarity levels and both analysis showed comparable results. A total of 45 alleles were detected in 15 microsatellite markers (M1 to M15) across the 13 *Capsicum* accessions. Out of these 15 SSR loci, 14 loci showed polymorphism. Genetic diversity ranged from 0.00 to 0.75 with an average of 0.51. High allelic richness was observed in M 10 and M 14. The PIC value varied from 0.13 to 0.70 with an average of 0.44. To date molecular characterization of *C. chinense* and *C. frutescence* accessions using morphological descriptors and SSR molecular markers.

Key words: Capsicum accessions, Molecular markers, Morphological descriptors

INTRODUCTION

Capsicum chinense and C. frutescens are other commonly cultivated and consumed chili species next to Capsicum annuum as a spice crop in Sri Lanka for their specific flavour, aroma and pungency. Jing et al (2013) have explained that the genus Capsicum is a member of the Solanaceae family and consists of five domesticated species: Capsicum annuum, C. baccatum, C. chinense, C. frutescens and C. pubescens with approximately 33 species. Perez et al (2014) have explained that through domestication process C. annuum was the most successful. C. chinense and C. frutescens became also popular in Africa and Asia. Whereas C. baccatum and C. pubescens mostly remain in South America. Ahamed et al (2000) have

*Corresponding author: bmkkumudu@yahoo.com

shown that during secondary diversification, different species were selected by farmers to fit the diverse agro-climatic environments showing the great phenotypic diversity found at present. It is essential to investigate the relationships among the species at the different secondary diversification centres. Germplasm can be characterized based on morphological descriptors, agronomic traits, and molecular markers. Standardized *Capsicum* descriptors have developed by the Plant Genetic Resources Centre (PGRC) of the Department of Agriculture. The PGRC descriptors include phenotypic traits for vegetative, flower, fruit, seed and yield characters.

Molecular markers became of preference because they are not under the influence of environmental conditions or plant development factors. Presently, several groups of Capsicum microsatellites, both genomic and EST-based markers, came to be available for diversity studies. Different types of DNA markers, such as RFLPs, RAPDs, AFLPs or microsatellite (SSRs), have been developed and used in Capsicum spp to determine the relationships and levels of genetic variation in wild and domesticated Capsicum spp. Perez, (2014) has pointed out that SSR markers have emerged as widelyused genotyping markers over the last decade, in plants because of their co-dominance, stability, capacity of multi-allelic detection, easy application and excellent sensitivity, especially between species. Those markers make better understanding of the genetic diversity.

Results of this study will be contributed towards the understanding of the knowledge in genetic diversity pattern in *C. chinense* and *C. frutescens* accessions using morphological descriptors and SSR molecular markers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment was conducted in Field Crops Research and Development Institute, Mahailluppallama during *yala* 2016 and *maha* 2016/17. A total of thirteen *Capsicum* accessions including *Capsicum chinense* and *C. frutescens* (accession KH1 to KH13) were evaluated using morphological and molecular markers to study the genetic diversity of germplasm (Table 1). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with two replicates. The unit plot size was 6 m x 1.8 m consisting of 3 rows with spacing 60 cm x 60 cm. Twelve morphological characters recorded were plant height and breadth (cm), mature leaf length and width (cm), number of days to 50% flowering, corolla colour, stem colour, pod length and girth (cm), pericarp thickness (mm), number of pods per plant and pod yield (t/ha).

DNA isolation

DNA was isolated from tender leaves of each accessions using CTAB method and stored in a -20 °C refrigerator. Molecular characterization was done using fifteen microsatellite markers (M1-M15 SSR markers) according to their broad transferability and high polymorphism in different *Capsicum* spp. PCR amplification and detection of microsatellite markers were performed.

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to test variations among genotypes for twelve morphological traits using SAS 9.1. Morphological data were analysed using Statistical software package MINITAB 17 with Multivariate data analytical methods *viz*. principal component and cluster analysis. For molecular analysis POWERMARKER V 3.25 software resulting matrix was employed to generate a dendrogram based on Unweighted Pared Group Method with Arithmetic Average (UPGMA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance revealed significant differences among genotypes for plant height,

No	Source	Accessions	No	Source	Species
1	KH 1	Capsicum frutescence	8	KH12	Capsicum chinence
2	KH2	Capsicum frutescence	9	KH15	Capsicum chinence
3	KH 3	Capsicum frutescence	10	KH16	Capsicum chinence
4	KH 6	Capsicum chinence	11	Но КН	Capsicum chinence
5	KH 7	Capsicum chinence	12	Hen KH	Capsicum frutescence
6	KH 8	Capsicum frutescence	13	KH 18	Capsicum chinence
7	KH10	Capsicum chinence			

Table 1: Accessions of Capsicum chinence and C. frutescence:

plant breadth, mature leaf length and breadth, plant height and breadth, pericarp thickness, and pod yield at 0.05% probability level.

Genetic relationships among *Capsicum* spp. was further investigated using principal component analysis which helps in describing grouping of variables. The first principal component (PC1) is related to morphological characters such as pods per plant, pod weight, pericarp thickness and yield explained 37% of total variability. The second principal component (PC2) is related to stem colour, pod weight and mature leaf breadth explained 21% of total variability. The third principal component (PC3) is related to yield, plant height and days to 50% flowering. The eigenvalues revealed that the first three principal components accounted for 71% of the total genetic variability. Pod characters (pods per plant, pod weight and pericarp thickness), plant height, plant width and yield were recorded higher positive magnitudes (above 0.30) for the PC1. Furthermore, leaf length, leaf width, pod length, width and pericarp thickness was negatively correlated with PC1. While, stem colour and pods weight were recorded high positive magnitude for PC2 and leaf length and leaf breadth recorded high negative magnitude for PC2.

The dendrogram derived based on Pearson distance displays the relative positions of Capsicum genotypes scored on morphological traits. There were two clusters at 0.1 similarity level. Accessions of Capsicum chinense and Capsicum frutescence were grouped in cluster 1 and cluster 2 respectively. It is confirmed that morphological data supported to differentiate accessions at species level. Genetic diversity within closely located accessions is lower than that of distantly located ones. The distance parents would be assisted to different genetic constitution that can be utilized for future breeding programmes. For inter-specific crosses the accessions of cluster 1; C. chinense, could be combined with accessions in cluster 2 belonging to C. frutescence to have new genetic makeup.

According to molecular analysis a total of 45 alleles were detected at 15 microsatellite markers across the 13 Capsicum accessions. The accessions of same species were clustered together and this indicated that ability to use of SSR markers to distinguish Capsicum accessions. Out of these 15 SSR loci, 14 loci showed polymorphism with a total of 45 alleles, with an average of 3.0 alleles per locus. High allelic richness was observed in M 10 and M 14 (Figure 1). Less allelic richness was observed in M 7. Allele richness varied from 1 to 6 alleles across tested accessions. A high level of genetic diversity existed among 15 loci studied across 13 Capsicum accessions. It ranged from 0.00 to 0.75 with an average of 0.51. The PIC value of each marker could be evaluated on the basis of the allele frequencies. It varied from 0.13 to 7.0 with an average of 0.44.

In the dendrogram based on molecular analysis (Figure 3), all the accessions were grouped into 3 main clusters at 0.1 similarity level. In



100bp1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

Figure 1: Acrylamide Gel Electrophoresis for 13 Capsicum accessions for Markers 10



Figure 2: Poly Acrylamide Gel Electrophoresis for 13 Capsicum accessions for Markers 14

the first cluster, accession KH2 which belong to Capsicum chinense and KH3 which belong to C. frutescence grouped together showing close genetic relationship though those belong to different species. Most of the Capsicum chinense accession except KH 10 were grouped in cluster 2. Most of the C. frutescence except KH 1 was grouped in cluster 3. Only a few displacements were observed, some accessions not being grouped into clusters that corresponded to their morphological classification. Perez et al (2014) have shown that the cultivated C. annuum accessions were clustered together in a single group. The other domesticated species C. chinense, C. frutescens, C. pubescens and C. baccatum were separated clearly into distinct branches supported by high values of bootstrap (>80%). The C. chinense accessions were visibly separated from C. frutescens accessions. Jing et al (2013) have shown that multivariate and model-based analyses partitioned the collection in seven clusters comprising the ten different Capsicum spp analysed: C. chinense, C. frutescens, the data clearly showed the close relationships between C. chinense and C. frutescens.

Dendrogram based on morphological analysis (Figure 4) confirms the pattern that is found in the molecular analysis except for few accessions. Even though accessions identified with some similarities as they evolve through a long process under different environmental



Figure 3: Dendrogram based on molecular analysis

condition hence genetic divergence can occur. Morphological characteristics were essential for the evolution process. There was also an association between the morphological descriptors and SSR markers. This study suggests that both characterization steps are important for understanding and differentiating the *C. chinense* and *C. frutescence* accessions.

CONCLUSION

Assessment of diversity with respect to quantitative traits such as pods per plant, pod weight, pericarp thickness and pod yield will help for identifying parental materials. SSR markers with high allelic richness (M 10 and M 14) can be used for future *Capsicum* characterization programs. Dendrogram derived based on molecular analysis confirmed the result of morphological analysis. The combination of morphological and molecular analyses is suggested for understanding and differentiating the *C. chinense* and *C. frutescence* accessions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors wish to express their gratitude to the Director, Additional Director and staff at FCRDI, Mahailluppallama for their encouragement and valuable support given for this study and the NRC project (NRC to 1424) for the financial assistance provided for this program.



Figure 4: Dendrogram based on 12 morphological characters in 13 *Capsicum* genotypes

Primer	Pack	Nucleotide	Sequence (5'-3')	References
(SSK)	Size			
M1. CAMS	25nmole	20	TTCTTGGGTCCCACACTTTC	Tilahun et al., Asian Journal of Agricultural
-405	25nmole	20	AGGTTGAAAGGAGGGCAATA	Sciences 5(2) : 25-31,2013
M2. CAMS	25nmole	21	CTGTTGTGGAAGAAGAGGACA	Tilahun et al., Asian Journal of Agricultural
-864	25nmole	22	GCTTCTTTTTCAACCTCCTCCT	Sciences 5(2) : 25-31,2013
M3. GPMS	25nmole	20	GCACAAGTCAATCCAAACGA	Tilahun et al., Asian Journal of Agricultural
-113	25nmole	23	CAAAAAGATGATGATGATGATGAAGA	Sciences 5(2) : 25-31,2013
M4. GPMS	25nmole	23	CGAAATCCAATAAACGAGTGAAG	Tilahun et al., Asian Journal of Agricultural
-161	25nmole	22	CCTGTGTGAACAAGTTTTCAGG	Sciences 5(2) : 25-31,2013
M5. GPMS	25nmole	22	GCAGAGAAAATAAAATTCTCGG	Tilahun et al., Asian Journal of Agricultural
-197	25nmole	20	CAATGGAAATTTCATCGACG	Sciences 5(2) : 25-31,2013
M6. CAMS	25nmole	21	GAGCGCTTAAGTGGTCATAGG	Patel et al ., Electronic Journal of Plant
-142	25nmole	20	CTACAACGCCCCAAAACAAT	Breeding, 2 (1): 67-76 (Mar 2011)
M7. CAMS	25nmole	22	TGCACAAATATGAATCCCAAGA	Patel et al ., Electronic Journal of Plant
-153	25nmole	23	AAGTCAGCAAACACATCTGACAAA	Breeding, 2 (1): 67-76 (Mar 2011)
M8. CAMS	25nmole	20	TTCTTGGGTCCCACACTTTC	Patel <i>et al</i> ., Electronic Journal of Plant
-403	25nmole	20	AGGTTGAAAGGAGGGCAATA	Breeding, 2 (1): 67-76 (Mar 2011)
M9. GPMS	25nmole	18	CCCTAATGCTTGACGTGG	Nagy <i>et al.</i> , Agricultural Biotechnology
1	25nmole	17	GGTTAAGGGGGGTTGGGG	Center, SZent -Gyo rgyi Albert u. 4, H- 2100 Go do Ilo", Hungary
M10.	25nmole	20	CAGAGCACTTGACATGCCTT	Nagy et al., Agricultural Biotechnology
GPMS6	25nmole	24	GATCTTTATAGTAGCTCATCAATA	Center, SZent-Gyo rgyi Albert u. 4, H- 2100 Go do IIo", Hungary
M11.	25nmole	20	AAAACCGACACACCAAAAGC	Nagy et al., Agricultural Biotechnology
GPMS166	25nmole	20	CCCTAGTTTCCGTTGCAGAG	Center, SZent -Gyo rgyi Albert u. 4, H- 2100 Go do IIo", Hungary
M12.	25nmole	24	GATTTTTGACATGTCACATTCATG	Nagy et al., Agricultural Biotechnology
GPMS178	25nmole	25	AACGTTGAAAAATAAAGTAAGCAAG	Center, SZent-Gyo rgyi Albert u. 4, H- 2100 Go do IIo", Hungary
M13.	25nmole	20	AGGTGGCAGTTGAGGCTAAG	Nagy et al., Agricultural Biotechnology
GPMS194	25nmole	20	GTTCTAGGTCTTTGCCCTGG	Center, SZent-Gyo rgyi Albert u. 4, H- 2100 Go do IIo", Hungary
M14. EP-	25nmole	20	AACCCAATCCCCTTATCCAC	Nagy et al., Agricultural Biotechnology
MS331	25nmole	20	GCATTAGCAGAAGCCATTTG	Center, SZent -Gyo rgyi Albert u. 4, H- 2100 Go do IIo", Hungary
M15.	25nmole	20	AAACGTCATCACAGCCATCA	Kong <i>et al.</i> , American Journal of Botany :
CAK24	25nmole	20	CGTAACGCACCCTCTAGGAA	59- e61.2012

Table 2: List of primers, sequences and references

REFERENCES

- Ahamed N and Hurra M 2000 Heterosis studies for fruit yield and some economic characters in sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) *Capsicum* and Eggplant Newsletter. pp. 21: 22-24.
- Jing Z, Huo-lin S, Wen-Cai Y, Fang T, Yin-lei W and Shuang G 2013 Analysis of Genetic Diversity of *Capsicum* Germplasm by Using SSR Markers. College of Agricultural and Biotech-

nology, Agricultural University, Beijing, China.

Perez SG, Claver AG, Mallor C, Miera LES, Fayos O, Pomar F, Merino F and Silvar S 2014 New Insights into *Capsicum* spp Relatedness and the Diversification Process of *Capsicum annuum* in Spain, Retrieved from <u>https://</u> <u>doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0116276</u>.