



Tree Physiology 39, 1961–1974
doi:10.1093/treephys/tpz103



Research paper

Incorporating non-stomatal limitation improves the performance of leaf and canopy models at high vapour pressure deficit

J. Yang^{1,7}, R. A. Duursma¹, M. G. De Kauwe^{2,3}, D. Kumarathunge¹, M. Jiang¹, K. Mahmud¹, T. E. Gimeno^{4,5}, K. Y. Crous¹, D. S. Ellsworth¹, J. Peters¹, B. Choat¹, D. Eamus⁶ and B. E. Medlyn¹

¹Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Penrith, NSW 2750, Australia; ²ARC Centre of Excellence for Climate Extremes, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia; ³Climate Change Research Centre, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia; ⁴Basque Centre for Climate Change, Scientific Campus of the University of the Basque Country, Leioa 4894, Spain; ⁵IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, 48008 Bilbao, Spain; ⁶School of Life Sciences, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia; ⁷Corresponding author (jinyan.yang@westernsydney.edu.au)

Received May 8, 2019; accepted September 12, 2019; handling Editor David Whitehead

Vapour pressure deficit (D) is projected to increase in the future as temperature rises. In response to increased D , stomatal conductance (g_s) and photosynthesis (A) are reduced, which may result in significant reductions in terrestrial carbon, water and energy fluxes. It is thus important for gas exchange models to capture the observed responses of g_s and A with increasing D . We tested a series of coupled A – g_s models against leaf gas exchange measurements from the Cumberland Plain Woodland (Australia), where D regularly exceeds 2 kPa and can reach 8 kPa in summer. Two commonly used A – g_s models were not able to capture the observed decrease in A and g_s with increasing D at the leaf scale. To explain this decrease in A and g_s , two alternative hypotheses were tested: hydraulic limitation (i.e., plants reduce g_s and/or A due to insufficient water supply) and non-stomatal limitation (i.e., downregulation of photosynthetic capacity). We found that the model that incorporated a non-stomatal limitation captured the observations with high fidelity and required the fewest number of parameters. Whilst the model incorporating hydraulic limitation captured the observed A and g_s , it did so via a physical mechanism that is incorrect. We then incorporated a non-stomatal limitation into the stand model, MAESPA, to examine its impact on canopy transpiration and gross primary production. Accounting for a non-stomatal limitation reduced the predicted transpiration by $\sim 19\%$, improving the correspondence with sap flow measurements, and gross primary production by $\sim 14\%$. Given the projected global increases in D associated with future warming, these findings suggest that models may need to incorporate non-stomatal limitation to accurately simulate A and g_s in the future with high D . Further data on non-stomatal limitation at high D should be a priority, in order to determine the generality of our results and develop a widely applicable model.

Keywords: hydraulic limitation, model-data assimilation, photosynthesis, stomatal conductance.

Introduction

Vapour pressure deficit (D) is the difference between the amount of water vapour that the air can hold at saturation (e_s) and the actual amount of water vapour in the air (e_a ; Monteith and Unsworth 2013). With rising air temperature, e_s increases exponentially and as a result D is projected to increase strongly in the future (Ficklin and Novick 2017). At the leaf

level, as D increases and plant water supply becomes limiting, a direct reduction in stomatal conductance (g_s) occurs to limit transpiration, which inevitably also affects photosynthesis (A ; Cowan and Farquhar 1977). The reduction of A and potentially transpiration due to increasing D has important implications for global carbon-climate predictions (Reichstein et al. 2013, Will et al. 2013). Thus, it is crucial to understand the response of vegetation to the projected increase in D (Novick et al. 2016).