

Studies on Effect of Drip and Basin Irrigation on Pomegranate Grown in Laterite Soil through Farmers' Understandable Approach

S. N. Ghosh¹, B. Bera² and B. C. Banik¹

¹*Department of Fruits and Orchard Management, Faculty of Horticulture, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, West Bengal, India,* ²*MPS Farm, Dighisole, Jhargram, Paschim Medinipur, West Bengal, India*

Abstract

The pomegranate is considered as one of the hardy fruit plants and has an ability to thrive under rainfed condition. However, for higher production of quality fruits, it requires water particularly during summer months for better quality harvest. For harnessing maximum efficiency from the drip system of irrigation, amount of water to be applied should be quantified. But no systematic research in this direction has been carried out to find out the exact quantity of water to be applied for higher production of quality pomegranate in laterite soil of West Bengal with the above objective. An investigation was therefore made in this direction. The treatment included as drip irrigation for 1, 2, and 3 hours duration at two days interval, with and without straw mulching, basin irrigation at 15 liters water/plant weekly with straw mulching and life saving irrigation with straw mulching, thereby consisting of 8 (eight) irrigation treatments in the experiment. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design having six replications. The results from two consecutive years of experimentation revealed that fruit yield was highest (16.8 kg/plant) from the plant, received water through drip for 3 hours + without mulching followed by drip watering for one hour + mulching (13.6 kg/plant) which resulted maximum water use efficiency of 292.2 kg/ha/cm. In respect of fruit quality, juice quantity and TSS content were improved due to different irrigation treatments. Foliar N, P and K status was however varied due to different irrigation treatments and it was maximum in the plants received water through drip for 3 hours + without mulching and minimum from basin watered plants. The drip irrigated plants had less fruit cracking as compared to basin irrigated plants.

Keywords: *Pomegranate, Drip irrigation, Water use efficiency, Yield, Fruit quality*

INTRODUCTION

Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L), is one of the important minor fruit crops gaining popularity in arid and semi-arid regions of India due to its hardy nature, high yield, low maintenance cost and good keeping quality. It is grown in diverse climate and soil condition, even in poor and marginal soil it could be grown successfully by adopting proper cultural practices. The laterite soil is considered as poor soil due to low organic matter and nutrient contain and having low water holding capacity. In this laterite soil, pomegranate has been found suitable for commercial cultivation (Tarai and Ghosh, 2006). Although, pomegranate is considered as one of the hardy fruit plants and has an ability to thrive under rainfed condition but for higher production of better quality fruits, it requires water particularly during summer months. In laterite zone, annual precipitation is not only the low but ground water availability for irrigation during the summer months is also a problem. In such critical situation, irrigation through drip is considered to be the viable and most economical approach. For harnessing maximum efficiency from the drip system of irrigation, amount of water to be applied should be quantified. But research work in this direction has not been carried out earlier on pomegranate, grown in laterite soil of West Bengal. Besides, most of the drip irrigation study on pomegranate was made on the basis of E Pan or water depletion method, where actual quantity of water to be applied through drip during the fruit growth period has not been mentioned (Srinivas, 1995; Agrawal and Agrawal, 2007) which is very

essential for a grower. Therefore, an investigation was, therefore, made in this direction to find out the actual quantity of water to be applied per plant through drip during the fruit growth period.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The trial was conducted on 7 year old plants of pomegranate cultivar Ruby in the orchard of a Private Farm at Jhargram, Paschim Medinipur, West Bengal, India during 2010 and 2011. The treatment included as : Irrigation through drip for 1, 2 and 3 hours at every two days interval, with or without straw mulching, thus consisting 6 treatments; basin irrigation at 15 liter water / plant weekly with straw mulching and life savings irrigation with straw mulching; thereby consisting 8 (eight) irrigation treatments in the experiment. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design with six replications. There were two drippers / plant with discharge rate was 2.5 liters /hour/dripper, *i.e.* one plant received 5 liter of water in one hour. Uniform cultural practices were made in all the plants. The data on fruit yield/plant was calculated in both the years of study and statistically analysed. Physico-chemical analysis of fruit was based on 5 randomly selected mature fruits from each plant. For chemical analysis of the fruits, the methods were followed as described in A.O.A.C. (1990). The physico-chemical attributes were studied during the years of 2010 and 2011 and average have been mentioned. The fruit cracking percentage was observed at fruit maturity. The leaf N was determined by using micro-kjeldahal method, P by vandomolbdo-phosphoric acid method and K by flame photometer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results present in Table 1 clearly indicated that the fruit yield was significantly improved due to irrigation treatments. Highest average yield of 16.8 kg was obtained from the plant received irrigation for 3 hours *i.e.* 15 liters/plant at 2 days interval without mulching followed by mulching + drip irrigation for 1 hour *i.e.* 5 liters/plant at 2 days interval (13.6 kg/plant) and lowest yield (8.1 kg / plant) was recorded from the plant received the lowest amount of water. The result was in consonance with the findings of Prasad *et al.* (2003) in pomegranate who found that 8 liter water per hour through drip for three hours daily at flowering and fruiting period resulted in highest yield under arid region of Rajasthan.

Different irrigation treatments had significant influence on weight of fruits (Table 2). The plants which received irrigation through drip for 3 hours + without mulching born the heaviest fruits (196 g) with maximum fruit diameter (7.7 cm) followed by the plants received drip irrigation for one hour with straw mulching (190 g weight with 7.6 cm size). It was interesting to note that more water through drip without straw mulching resulted in higher yield and fruit weight and size as compared to same amount of water with mulching. Lower yield in straw mulched plants may be attributed to less availability of water from the system where straw itself may acted as a barrier for quick availability of drip water during the dry months. Mulching the drip irrigated plants of pomegranate was not helpful also reported by Sulochanamma *et al.* (2005).

Application of water through drip also caused significant increase in juice content on fruit weight basis. The maximum juice content (75.3%) was recorded with the application of drip water for 3 hours with no mulching closely followed by drip watering for 1 hour with mulching. The result is in line with the findings of Prasad *et al.* (2003) who also noted higher juice content in drip irrigated plants. Regarding fruit quality improvement due to irrigation treatments as presented in Table 2, revealed that the TSS was significantly improved and maximum TSS (13.6⁰B) was obtained from the plant received drip irrigation for 3 hours with no mulching.

One of the most beneficial effects of drip irrigation as compared to basin irrigation was the improvement of foliar N, P and K status and reduction of fruit cracking. The plants drip irrigated for 3 hours + no mulching showed highest N, P and K values as compared to basin irrigated plants (Table 2). It was reported that higher foliar N, P, K status is always associated with the higher fruit yield (Ghosh, 2012). Fruit cracking was noted lower in drip irrigated plants as compared to basin

irrigated plants (Table 2). Reduced fruit cracking in drip irrigated pomegranate plants was also noted by Prasad *et al.* (2003).

Crop water use

The amount of water applied through drippers under various treatments and the rainfall received during summer months (January to May) are presented in Table 1. It is clear from the data that water use and water efficiency were distinctly differed due to various irrigation treatments and straw mulching. The data revealed that maximum water use was under T₃ (drip irrigation for 3 hours) and T₆ (drip irrigation for 3 hours + straw mulching) but highest water use efficiency was obtained from T₃ (299.2) *i.e.* by adding 1 cm water 299.2 kg fruit yield / ha was obtained. The findings is in agreement with the results of Pampattiwar *et al.* (1993) who obtained highest water use efficiency (306 kg fruits /ha) by applying 19.8 cm water per year. It is also clear from the results that straw mulching was not helpful in increasing water use efficiency in pomegranate.

CONCLUSION

From the results of two consecutive years of study it is revealed that application of 15 liters of water through drip at two days interval during January to May resulted in highest fruit yield with maximum water use efficiency in pomegranate. Fruit quality and foliar N, P and K status were improved due to drip watering. Straw mulching in drip irrigated pomegranate plants had adverse effect on water use efficiency

REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. 1990. Official Methods of Analysis. *Association of Official Analytical Chemists*. 15 Eds. Washington D.C., U.S.A.
- Agarwal N and Agarwal S (2007) Effect of different levels of drip irrigation on the growth and yield of pomegranate under Chhattisgarh region. *The Orissa J. Hort.*, 35 (1): 38-46.
- Ghosh SN (2012) Effect of nitrogen and potassium nutrition in pomegranate grown in Laterite soil. *Indian J. Fert.*, 8 (7) : 56-58.
- Papattiwar PS, Suryawanshi SN, Gorantiwar SD and Pingale LV (1993) Drip irrigation for pomegranate . *Maharashtra J. Hort.*, 7 (1): 46-50.
- Prasad RN, Bankar GJ and Vashishtha BB (2003) Effect of drip irrigation on growth, yield and quality of pomegranate in arid region. *Indian J. Hort.*, 60 (2): 140-142.
- Srinivas K (1995) Seasonal water use by pomegranate. *Indian J. Hort.*, 52 (2): 87-91.
- Sulochanamma BN, Reddy TY and Reddy GS (2005) Effect of basin and drip irrigation on growth, yield and water use efficiency in pomegranate cv. Ganesh. *Acta Hort.*, 696: 277-79.
- Tarai RK and Ghosh SN (2006) Performance of different cultivars of pomegranate grown in laterite tracts of West Bengal. *Proc. Nat. Symp. Production, utilization and export of underutilized fruits with commercial potentialities*, Kalyani, West Bengal: 74-78.

Tables**Table 1:** Effect of irrigation and mulching on fruit yield of pomegranate cv. Ruby grown in laterite soil

Treatment	Amount of water applied per plant during the crop period (Average)	Total rainfall received during the crop period (January – May) (Average)	Fruit yield./ Plant (kg)			Average fruit yield./ha (kg)	Amount of Water added (cm) during crop period (January to May) Irrigation + rainfall)	Water use efficiency kg/ha/cm
			2010	2011	Average			
T ₁ : Drip irrigation for 1 hour	220 liter	550 ml	12.4	7.6	10.0	57.44	193.2	
T ₂ : Drip irrigation for 2 hour	440 liter	550 ml	18.2	7.8	13.0	59.89	240.9	
T ₃ : Drip irrigation for 3 hours	660 liter	550 ml	21.0	12.6	16.8	62.33	299.2	
T ₄ : T ₁ + Straw mulching	220 liter	550 ml	14.2	12.9	13.6	57.44	262.8	
T ₅ : T ₂ + Straw mulching	440 liter	550 ml	16.6	7.8	12.2	59.89	226.1	
T ₆ : T ₃ + Straw mulching	660 liter	550 ml	15.5	6.1	10.8	62.33	192.3	
T ₇ : Basin Watering + Mulching	300 liter	550 ml	10.1	10.6	10.3	58.33	196.0	
T ₈ : Life saving irrigation + Mulching	150 liter	550 ml	10.4	5.8	8.1	56.67	158.7	
C.D. at 5%	-	-	0.5	0.4	0.4	-	-	

Table 2: Effect of irrigation and mulching on physico-chemical composition of fruits and foliar NPK status of pomegranate grown in laterite soil.

Treatment	Fruit Weight (g)	Fruit diameter (cm)	Juice (%)	T.S.S. (°B)	Acidity (%)	Reducing sugar (%)	Foliar status			Fruit cracking (%)
							Nitrogen (%)	Phosphorus (mg %)	Potassium (%)	
T ₁ : Drip irrigation for 1 hour	158	7.2	70.0	12.8	0.40	10.1	1.42	87.0	1.10	7.7
T ₂ : Drip irrigation for 2 hour	179	7.5	72.9	12.9	0.40	10.2	1.55	95.0	1.37	7.5
T ₃ : Drip irrigation for 3 hours	196	7.7	75.3	13.6	0.45	10.1	1.70	106.0	1.65	5.5
T ₄ : T ₁ + Straw mulching	190	7.6	75.2	13.4	0.38	10.0	1.50	88.0	1.41	1.6
T ₅ : T ₂ + Straw mulching	171	7.4	71.6	12.7	0.39	9.9	1.53	88.0	1.47	6.4
T ₆ : T ₃ + Straw mulching	150	7.2	70.1	12.3	0.37	9.9	1.44	106.0	1.37	9.4
T ₇ : Basin Watering + Mulching	155	7.0	69.2	13.0	0.40	10.1	1.53	98.0	1.26	14.2
T ₈ : Life saving irrigation + Mulching	160	7.0	69.0	13.1	0.46	10.1	1.20	99.5	1.20	16.3
C.D. at 5%	5.8	N.S.	1.1	0.2	N.S.	N.S.	0.15	3.1	0.10	-